Sources of country-sector productivity growth: public and private intangibles and capital reallocation in the EU15 and the US

> C. Corrado, (The Conference Board), New York J. Haskel, (Imperial College, CEPR and IZA), London C. Jona-Lasinio, (LUISS Lab and ISTAT), Rome M.Iommi, (LUISS Lab and ISTAT), Rome M.O'Mahony (King's College), London

SPINTAN Final Conference - LUISS University 12-13 September 2016, Rome, Italy



This project has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under grant agreement No. 612774



- Aim: study productivity growth by
  - Country (12 countries, US, Northern Europe: DE, FR, UK; Scandinavian: DK FI, SE; Small Europe: AT, CZ, NL; Mediterranean: ES, IT)
  - Industry: 20 industries (A-U Nace Rev 2)
  - Institutional sector: Market and non-market within each of the 20 industries
  - Asset: Tangible and intangible assets (NA, INTAN Invest and SPINTAN)
- To do this we
  - Collect output and investment data by year-country-industry-institutional sector-asset from
    - national accounts (for output and tangibles) and
    - own-calculations (for non-national accounts intangibles plus splits by sector)
- Build capital stocks and consistent capital rental prices
- Link with EU-KLEMS labour composition data
- Calculate growth accounts

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



- This builds integrated and harmonized measures of intangibles across countries and institutional sectors (INTAN-Invest and SPINTAN)
  - Corrado, Hulten and Sichel (2005) developed the measurement framework for intangibles in the market sector.
  - Corrado, Haskel and Jona-Lasinio (2014) proposed a framework to measure intangible investment in the nonmarket sector.
- Uses Jorgenson-Schreyer for capital returns in non-market sector
- Our major questions
  - Productivity accounts before and after the Great Recession
    - What is the contribution of tangible and intangible capital by country-industry-sector with a complete accounting for intangible capital inputs?
  - Non-market intangible investment and productivity
  - Spillovers between non-market and market intangibles?
  - Has capital reallocation "gone wrong" since the financial crisis?

#### Ultimate aim: a full mapping of CHS assets to the nonmarket sector

$\mathbf{M}$	arket Sector	Nonmarket Sector						
Computerized Information			Information, Scientific, and Cultural Assets					
1	Software	1	Software					
2	Databases	2	Open data					
Inn	ovative Property							
3	R&D, broadly defined to include all NPD costs	3	R&D, basic and applied science					
4	Entertainment & artistic originals	(4)	Cultural and heritage, including					
5	Design	Ŭ	arch. & eng. design					
6	Mineral exploration	5	Mineral exploration					
Eco	onomic Competencies	Societa	al Competencies					
7	Brands	6	Brands					
8	Organizational capital	(7)	Organizational capital					
	(a) Manager capital	0	(a) Professional and manager capital					
	(b) Purchased organizational services		(b) Purchased organizational services					
9	Firm-specific human capital	8	Function-specific human capital					
	(employer-provided training)		(employer-provided training)					

NOTE—NPD=New Product Development, including testing and spending for new financial products and other services development not included in software or conventional science-based R&D.

# Focus of work here: non-market R&D, software, organisational capital and design



Tangible and intangible investment: (adjusted) value added shares (2013)

UK, US, SE: intangibles account for a larger value added share than tangibles. ES, IT opposite.



| ◆ □ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ◆ ■ ◆ ● ◆ ● ◆ ● ◆ ● ◆ ● ◆ ● ◆



・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

PINITAN

Non-market intangible investment (on this measure) is small. Overall (market and nonmarket) intangible investments account for 14% to 6% of value added with market and nonmarket sectors accounting on average for 8% and 1.5% respectively.



7 / 27

## Tangible and intangible investment before and after the crisis: $\ensuremath{\mathsf{EU}}\xspace+\ensuremath{\mathsf{US}}\xspace$

Post crisis, tangible investment experienced a prolonged slowdown while intangible investment recovered.



But this turns out to vary by country....

# Tangible and intangible investment before and after the crisis: EU vs $\mathsf{US}$

Intangibles were relatively resilient during the crisis: but...

- US: Intangibles recovered fast
- EU: Intangibles recovered more slowly and current growth is slower



### Sources of growth

#### Model

$$\Delta ln(Q/H)_{c,i,t}^{(a)} = s_{c,i,m,t}^{L(a)} \Delta ln(L/H)_{c,i,m,t} + s_{c,i,m,t}^{K(a)} \Delta ln(K/H)_{c,i,m,t}$$
$$\rightarrow + s_{c,i,m,t}^{R(a)} \Delta ln(R/H)_{c,i,m,t} + \Delta lnA_{c,i,m,t}$$

where:

- c=country, i=industry, m=market-nonmarket sector, t=time, (a)=assets
- $s = (P_x X / P_q Q)$ : Q and shares consistent with (a) capitalized assets
- L is labor services, K is tangible capital, R is intangible capital, H is person-hours
- $\Delta lnA_{c,i,m,t}$  measured as a residual
- non-market sector return on capital is SRTP
- currently missing labour services data for CZ and DK

With countries-industry-sectors-asset-years we have many results...so concentrate here on EU and US, where EU is weighted average of AT, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, IT, NL, SE, UK.

Non-farm business						
1998-2013						
Country						
	DInQH	ConDInLH	ConDInKH NonICT	ConDlnKH ICT	ConDlnKH intan	DInTFP
AT	1.8%	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	0.6%
CZ	3.2%		1.3%	0.4%	0.3%	
DE	1.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%
DK	1.0%		0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	
ES	0.6%	0.1%	0.9%	0.2%	0.3%	-0.9%
FI	2.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.5%	1.2%
FR	1.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.1%	0.5%	0.3%
т	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	-0.5%
NL	1.7%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%
SE	2.6%	-0.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	1.5%
UK	1.6%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.6%
US	2.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.8%	0.8%
_EU	1.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%

1998-2007						
Country	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	DInQH	ConDInLH	ConDInKH NonICT	ConDInKH ICT	ConDlnKH intan	DInTFP
AT	2.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%	1.2%
CZ	5.0%		1.6%	0.5%	0.5%	
DE	1.8%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	1.0%
DK	1.6%		0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	
ES	-0.2%	0.0%	0.6%	0.3%	0.1%	-1.2%
FI	3.6%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%	2.8%
FR	2.2%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	0.9%
IT	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	-0.2%
NL	2.6%	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%	0.4%	0.9%
SE	3.8%	-0.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.5%	2.6%
UK	2.7%	0.5%	0.4%	0.2%	0.5%	1.5%
US	3.1%	0.2%	0.4%	0.5%	0.9%	1.2%
_EU	1.8%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	0.7%

2008-2013						
Country	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	DInQH	ConDInLH	ConDInKH NonICT	ConDInKH ICT	ConDlnKH intan	DInTFP
AT	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	-0.4%
CZ	0.3%		0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	
DE	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	-0.7%
DK	0.1%		0.3%	0.1%	0.4%	
ES	1.9%	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%	0.5%	-0.5%
FI	-0.6%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	-1.5%
FR	0.6%	0.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.5%	-0.8%
IT	-0.1%	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	-0.9%
NL	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	-0.8%
SE	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	0.5%	-0.3%
UK	-0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%	-0.8%
US	1.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
_EU	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	-0.7%



Public						
1998-2013						
Country	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	DInQH	ConDInLH	ConDInKH NonICT	ConDInKH ICT	ConDInKH intan	DInTFP
AT	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	-0.1%
CZ	0.6%		1.2%	0.3%	0.1%	
DE	1.0%	0.4%	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%	-0.3%
DK	0.0%		0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	
ES	0.6%	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%	0.2%	-0.5%
FI	-0.3%	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	-0.9%
FR	0.9%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%
IT	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	-0.6%
NL	0.6%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
SE	-0.1%	3.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	-3.9%
UK	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	-0.3%
US	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	-0.5%
EU	0.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	-0.4%



1998-2007						
Country	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	DInQH	ConDInLH	ConDInKH NonICT	ConDInKH ICT	ConDInKH intan	DInTFP
AT	0.7%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%	-0.2%
CZ	1.5%		1.4%	0.4%	0.1%	
DE	0.8%	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%	0.2%	-0.7%
DK	0.0%		0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	
ES	1.0%	0.2%	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%
FI	0.2%	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	-0.4%
FR	1.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%
IT	0.6%	0.5%	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%	-0.7%
NL	0.6%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%
SE	-0.1%	4.6%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	-5.2%
UK	0.7%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	-0.1%
US	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	-0.6%
EU	0.8%	0.4%	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%	-0.4%

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目目 - 釣A(で)

2008-2013						
Country	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
	DInQH	ConDInLH	ConDInKH NonICT	ConDInKH ICT	ConDlnKH intan	DInTFP
AT	0.0%	-0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	-0.1%	-0.1%
CZ	-1.0%		0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	
DE	1.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%
DK	0.1%		0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	
ES	-0.1%	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%	0.1%	-1.6%
FI	-1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	-1.6%
FR	0.9%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.3%
IT	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	-0.5%
NL	0.6%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%
SE	-0.1%	1.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	-1.6%
UK	-0.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	-0.8%
US	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	-0.2%
EU	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	-0.3%

### Before 2008: sources of growth (1998-2007): GDP-weighted average of EU countries minus US

#### Before the recession

- US NFBiz ΔlnQ=3.1%pa, ΔlnTFP = 1.2%pa; EU =1.8%pa, 0.7%pa
- US Public Δ*InQ*=0.0%pa, Δ*InTFP* = -0.6%pa; EU =0.8%pa, -0.4%pa
- EU lagged US in NFBiz, Ahead in public

rel to US 98-07.pdf



### After 2008: Sources of growth (2008-2013): GDP-weighted average of EU countries minus US

#### After recesssion

- MFBiz:EU TFP lag got worse
- Public: Public advantage fell



rel to US.pdf

- Is capital is somehow not being allocated to the "right" sectors? And this is impeding productivity growth?
- To evaluate the degree of misallocation, need to compare relative to "benchmark" for productivity growth with no misallocation
- We follow Jorgenson and Schreyer and use their accounting framework, that directly links the reallocation of capital between industries and (total factor) productivity growth. Data from 11 countries, 1997-2013

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Jorgenson: calculate capital contributions to TFP when capital gets the same price in all industries =  $ConK_{\rho_i=\rho}$
- Do same when capital gets different prices =  $ConK_{\rho_i}$
- If the markets working, capital flows from low to high return industries
- =>  $ConK_{\rho_i}$  is relatively high, since  $\Delta lnK_i$  is high in industries with high  $\rho_i$
- =>  $ConK_{\rho_i} > ConK_{\rho_i=\rho}$
- We study  $REALL_{K} = ConK_{\rho_{i}} ConK_{\rho_{i}=\rho}$
- Test: if misallocation has got worse then this falls

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト





### Compare SE, DE and UK. ES? IT?

We investigate possible drivers of capital reallocation estimating the following specification:

$$\textit{REALLK}_{c,t} = \alpha_1 \Delta \textit{In(Intrate)}_{c,t} + \alpha_2 \textit{Crisis} + \alpha_3 \textit{Exp}_{c,t}^j + \alpha_4 Z_{c,t}^i + \gamma_c + \epsilon$$

where

- Intrate is long term interest rate,
- Crisis is a dummy variable for 2008,
- *Exp<sup>j</sup>* are indicators of economic sentiment, with j=ESI, Factors influencing investments (demand (Dem) and financial (Fin) ,
- Z<sup>i</sup> are other controls for government support to investment and
- $\gamma_c$  are country dummies.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	1997-2013	1997-2007	1997-2013	1997-2007		1997-2007			1997-2013	
VARIABLES	Include	the US				Exclude	the US			
Interest rate	-0.0016***	0.0003	-0.0019***	-0.0036	-0.0018***	-0.0013**	-0.0008*	-0.0032***	-0.0025***	-0.0010
	(0.0005)	(0.0016)	(0.0005)	(0.0024)	(0.0005)	(0.0006)	(0.0005)	(0.0007)	(0.0007)	(0.0009)
ESI	0.0026***	0.0025	0.0032***	0.0034*	0.0032***	0.0036***	0.0037***	0.0063***	0.0075***	0.0052***
	(0.0009)	(0.0016)	(0.0009)	(0.0018)	(0.0009)	(0.0009)	(0.0009)	(0.0013)	(0.0012)	(0.0015)
GOS_GDP	-0.0058**	-0.0072**	-0.0078***	-0.0102***	-0.0069**	-0.0110***	-0.0127***	-0.0080*	-0.0158***	-0.0166***
	(0.0023)	(0.0030)	(0.0026)	(0.0035)	(0.0027)	(0.0024)	(0.0025)	(0.0042)	(0.0038)	(0.0039)
Crisis	-0.0007***		-0.0006***		-0.0006***	-0.0005***	-0.0005***			
	(0.0001)		(0.0002)		(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)			
I grants_GDP					0.0003*	0.0003	0.0002	0.0008***	0.0004*	0.0002
					(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0002)	(0.0004)
Demand conditions						0.0002*			0.0007***	
						(0.0001)			(0.0002)	
Financial conditions							0.0001*			0.0002*
							(0.0001)			(0.0001)
Observations	187	121	170	110	169	129	116	109	79	70

andard errors in parentheses

\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.1

#### Summary: REALL gets worse when:

- Crisis
- poor sentiment/ low expectations
- rising interest rates
- high profits

3

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

- Creation of a country-industry-sector database for productivity analysis that accounts for the role of tangible and intangible capital in the total economy (private and public).
- Intangible and tangible investment show different sensitivity to the business cycle across countries
- Still comparatively low intangible investment in EU countries relative to US: correlated with more employment strictness. Policy opportunity?
- Post-crisis:
  - lag in EU  $\Delta$ *InTFP* has got worse
  - Capital reallocation fell strongly in crisis in most countries, but not in e.g. SE. Trending down in ES and IT. Falls with low sentiment. Policy opportunity?

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



ρινιτανι



ρινιτανι



ρινιτανι